

# 2012/2013 PHILIPPINE HUMAN DEVELOPMENT REPORT

HOLDINGS: 6284 CHULLMTON #: CHW # NNS-14-2354

Copyright © 2013 Human Development Network (HDN) ISSN 0118-6361

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission of the Human Development Network (HDN).

The views expressed in this Report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of their affiliated institutions or the sponsoring agencies.

For inquiries about the Human Development Network (HDN) vistour website at www.hdn.org.ph.

cover design Eduardo A. Davad book design and layout Eduardo A. Davad

# 2012/2013 PHILIPPINE HUMAN DEVELOPMENT REPORT

Published by the

Human Development Network (HDN)

in cooperation with the

## Message



INCE 1990 when the first global Human Development Report (HDR) came out, many have embraced the HD concept, but the discourse persists as the quest for HD continues. UNDP's advocacy for human development (HD) has led to the subsequent production of regional and national HDRs, thus enabling the discussions on human development to take root in country- and region-specific contexts.

Following the discipline of its global and regional counterparts, national HDRs provide the same rigor of analysis, which we now find in the Philippine Human Development Report (PHDR). As in previous editions, this 7th Philippine Human Development Report (2012-2013 PHDR) offers yet another development perspective, "Geography," critical to the attainment of human development for the people of Philippine archipelago. The road to human development is filled with multidimensional barriers and challenges. To understand the path to human development, since 1994 the PHDRs have tackled diverse themes such as gender, education, employment, peace and security, and institutions. The past PHDRs have earned their reputation as important references to development leaders and practitioners of the country with their in-depth analysis and concrete suggestions.

The 7th edition of the PHDR takes on the spatial dimension of human development. "Geography is a deep determinant of human development," states the 2012/2013 PHDR. Throughout the report, it argues that human development takes place in physical space that is to a large extent fixed. But socioeconomic and human factors can influence each other and may lead to different human development outcomes.

The PHDR looks into the spatial patterns in the development of the Philippines and how these affect human development. For a country of 7,107 islands with diverse topographic and climatic attributes and greatly challenged by physical connectivity, the Report brings to our attention the development variations brought about by this geographic influence. The PHDR provides a perspective on the geographic conditions affecting local outcomes; the opportunity costs of not fully taking the element of distinctiveness into account in the pursuit of human development; and the institutional responses needed to address the challenges and opportunities of geographical realities within and beyond administrative boundaries.

It provides expert analyses on the functionality of human spaces and the interplay of social and economic processes affecting the community and the development of its people. The Report also provides a reflection on regional development and integration in empowering or disempowering local people in attaining full human development.

As a useful reference in development planning, this Report is especially dedicated to the local governments and their leaders to assist them in reviewing policies and interventions to maximize their efficiency in accordance to geographical uniqueness. For one, understanding geography and its impacts on human development pathways, could unveil solutions to the issue of rising inequality and disparity of urban and rural areas.

UNDP is the key advocate of human development upholding that "people are the real wealth of a nation." HD champions the creation of an enabling environment for people to enjoy long, healthy, and creative lives. Far greater than the accumulation of assets and financial wealth, human development should be the core means and the ultimate goal of development efforts.

On this note, the Human Development Network (HDN) deserves another feather in its cap for capturing the perspective of geography and human development in such an innovative and convincing manner. Indeed, the Philippines, which has started to demonstrate high economic growth, but is constantly challenged by its geographical diversity and deep-rooted inequality, will greatly benefit from the recommendations of this 7th edition of the PHDR.

Thank you and Mabuhay!

UNDP Country Director

### Message





HE 7th Philippine Human Development
Report with the theme "Geography and
Human Development" comes at a time when
the government is updating the Philippine
Development Plan (PDP), 2011-2016 with an eye
toward paying greater attention to the spatial
and sectoral dimensions of growth in the pursuit of more
inclusive outcomes.

The updating also has an eye toward an examination of institutional arrangements between administrative layers of government in order to better align local and national development plans. This is critical if short- and medium-term gains are to take root and carry the country forward into the longer term.

It is auspicious therefore that the Report, in articulating the role of geography in influencing the quality and pace of human development, has made the following key points:

- Geography explains a significant portion of the variations in life expectancy, education, per capita income, and poverty incidence across the Philippines. It is a profound determinant of human development, intrinsically linked to the latter through human health, agricultural prospects, access between locations, and specific political institutions.
- Past policy and institutional arrangements have failed to adequately address the implications of local geography and have resulted in significant costs to human development.
- Human development costs arise from a national organizational structure that arranges sectors or agencies sectors or agencies sectors or agencies sectors or agency, by programs. Such arrangement is incompatible with the integrated, ecosystem-based governance that local geography demands.
- ■Large inefficiencies and foregone benefits result from the well-intended but misguided notion that the uniform dispersion of production across space will lead to growth that

is more evenly spread out and therefore more equitable.

- Nonetheless, a geographical convergence of living standards can take place and must remain a prime objective. In short, spatially uneven, unbalanced growth is compatible with inclusive human development.
- The challenge of geography requires the delivery of basic and social services that is integrated and locally anchored—most crucially at the provincial level.

We appreciate the lessons documented in the 7th PHDR, are challenged by them, and look forward to how they will inform development policies and programs and resource allocation priorities of both the national and local governments moving forward.

Y-13--

**ARSENIO M. BALISACAN** 

Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning

### **Foreword**

HE Philippine Human Development Report 2012/2013 discusses the crucial role of place and space in human development. The crux of the issue is suggested in the epigraph from Rizal: some are fortunate enough to be helped and made happy by their place of stay—indeed, they may even have the luxury of choosing it. Others, on the other hand, are simply condemned by their circumstances to endure it.

Part I of the Report demonstrates how the Philippines' diverse, fragmented, and hazard-prone geography poses huge challenges to human development. Distance, land form, climate, and natural hazards are significant obstacles to people's access to health, to education, and their ability to obtain a sustainable and productive living. Besides natural factors, economic growth itself is a process that by its nature creates geographic unevenness and inequality, even while existing social and political barriers can frustrate people's efforts to better their own lot.

The human development view of geographical differences is straightforward: differences in location should not translate into differences in human opportunities. This implies, first and foremost, that the fundamental means needed to build human capabilities must be made available irrespective of location. Access to basic education and to primary health, in particular, should be "spatially blind". Second, recognizing that economic growth and wealth-creation are not uniformly spread but inevitably create basins of attraction, e.g., cities and mass markets, affording access to incomes and livelihood opportunities must entail "spatially connective" or market-integrating infrastructure that facilitates the bidirectional movement of goods and people.

In the limit, human development presupposes people's freedom to leave areas of low opportunity in pursuit of better prospects. What matters is that such decisions are taken not out of desperation or under duress but as free choices from among a set of humane alternatives. Even as the Report recognizes the geographic unevenness entailed by growth—and therefore the inevitability of leading and lagging areas—it points to the possibility of reconciling this with equal human opportunities: "Uneven, unbalanced growth is not incompatible with inclusive human development."

Measured against these, the spatial dimensions of current public policy are unfortunately wanting and unresponsive. The bias for centralization in many government programs leads to a one-size-fits-all approach that fails to account for local conditions affecting the population. Disease-specific national health campaigns pass over neglected tropical diseases that are rampant in some localities. Agricultural programs focus on specific crops rather than on farmers whose activities are varied and actually span several crops. Reforms and regulation of transport and access are undertaken piece-meal, according to the specific mode of transport, rather than being informed by the larger picture of travel across various modes of transport.

What prevails in all these is an emphasis on objects and categories—some particular disease, special crop, or favored transport mode—rather than on actual people and the places they inhabit. This unresponsive framework is reinforced by a "silo"-complex in many national agencies themselves, which splits responsibilities among non-overlapping (and therefore non-cooperating) bureaucracies organized along the same technocratic lines of categories rather than people. Finally, the combined failure of national vision and denial of local responsibility leads to the dissipation of resources that is the "divide-by-N" syndrome—the dissipation of public resources in duplicative infrastructure and programs in disregard of scale, synergy, and the conscious integration of larger markets.

The Report instead advocates giving provinces the greatest leeway to define their own priorities and providing the resources to achieve them. Not all of today's provinces represent optimal divisions from the viewpoint of geography and ecosystems (especially since sheer political considerations have influenced recent province-creation, particularly in Mindanao). But provinces are currently still the most practicable level of political authority that can give full weight to the specificity and diversity of local conditions, even as it is capable of adopting a viewpoint comprehensive enough to adopt programs that exploit potential economies of scale and scope. It is provinces and provincial leadership that can potentially respond to the differing needs of leading and lagging areas, e.g., between urban areas and peripheries—as well as provide the connections needed to foster healthy symbiotic relations between them.

Current laws and planning and budgeting practices, however, paradoxically constrain provincial governments from performing this integrative function. Rather than expand the role of planning among provinces, current laws instead reduce their jurisdictions by ripping out the most developed urban areas; tax bases and tax powers are circumscribed; provincial spending responsibilities are overextended yet sorely underfunded; in the meantime parochial political pressure is accommodated for even greater subdivision of jurisdictions. The Report argues that serious geographical obstacles to human development can never be adequately addressed without giving full rein to province-level planning and fiscal responsibility—with the democratic accountability that entails. To this end, future legislation is clearly needed to change the current city-centric emphasis of devolution and redefine the powers of local governments accordingly. The Report is being issued at what the Human Development Network believes is an opportune moment, when there is increasing interest in revisiting the Local Government Code (1991) after more than two decades of implementation. Even without legislation, however, a good deal can already be accomplished by expanding the role of provinces and province-level concerns in the design of programs and the choice of projects by national-level planning, fiscal, and line agencies.

Part II of the Report analyzes the record of provincial progress in human development over the longer period 1997-2009.

While a slow but steady improvement is evident in indicators of human development for the country as a whole, this masks the highly variable performance among provinces throughout the period. Global economic crises, such as those which engulfed the country in 1997-2008 and 2008-2009 are crucial factors explaining the larger trend, although the record also illustrates how improvements in non-income measures of human development can occur notwithstanding conjunctural variations in income. More important, however, is the sometimes volatile fluctuations in the human development indicators in some provinces. Especially worrisome are the prospects for provinces that have some of the lowest HDIs to begin with, but which in addition are locked in the vicious circle of falling incomes and falling health and education outcomes (Agusan del Sur, Lanao del Sur, Maguindanao, Sulu, Tawi-Tawi, and Zamboanga Sibugay).

The long view also reveals rises and falls in the achievements of even erstwhile high-achievers in human development. The reasons for this can be varied, but a possible reason illustrates a point made in the theme chapter: mobility and migration can change the composition of a locality's population in many ways. Without foresight and adequate preparation, in-migration into a highly developed area can ultimately create problems in health, education, and even incomes e.g., through congestion, pollution, and the emergence of slums. On the other hand, outmigration of the skilled, educated, and youthful will certainly erode the record of the areas they leave behind.

What is clear is that the depth, variety, and implications of such local experiences can be adequately understood and addressed only by the political authorities and communities directly concerned. Indeed the collation and computation of a subnational series of the Human Development Index (HDI) and other indicators underscores the continuing advocacy of the Human Development Network (HDN) to link achievements in human development with geographical political responsibility. This returns to the theme chapter's message, therefore: under current arrangements, there is no effective political authority or responsibility for monitoring and understanding the record of human development at a comprehensive geographic scale, namely at the level of a province with all its cities and farms, all its leading and lagging areas, its entire population engaged in all types of economic activities, and its entire health and education delivery system.

This Report, therefore, is addressed to political leaders at all levels but especially to the people to whom the former are responsible and must be held to account. By issuing this volume, the Human Development Network hopes both leaders and people will recognize the challenge geography poses to human development—so that they will change the institutions that stand in the way of an effective response.

President

Human Development Network

## **Acknowledgments**

HIS Report represents two and a half years' worth of work, a fact reflecting the nature of the topic, the most complex and multifaceted addressed by the Human Development Network (HDN) so far.

The process included two inception workshops in January and March 2011; a series of public forums to review an original set of background papers in August 2011; and further workshops in October 2011 and March 2012, before a different approach was adopted in July 2012.

At the same time, the National Statistical Coordination Board (NSCB) and HDN studied the updated global methodology for the Human Development Index (HDI) and discussed its applicability to the Philippines. An interim methodology for this volume was agreed upon and presented to the NSCB Executive Board in February 2012. Computations were subjected to a rigorous process of replication before the 2009 HDIs for provinces were disseminated in a joint NSCB-HDN Forum on 10 December 2012. The statistical annex included in this volume presents back-computations of the HDI to 1997 using the updated methodology for comparability.

The HDN Executive Committee expresses its sincere thanks to all the individuals who collaborated at various stages of the process, as participants, discussants, reviewers, writers, research associates, and staff assistants. Special thanks for their time, patience, and commitment is extended to the following:

The first set of contributors of background papers: Art Corpuz, Jeff Ducanes, Jude Esguerra, Nikkin Beronilla, Agnes Espinas, Jeanne Illo, Leonardo Lanzona, Marianito Luspo (and associates from the Holy Name University in Bohol), Doracie Zoleta-Nantes, Cory Naz, Temario Rivera, Edgardo Tongson, Randy Tuaño, Joey Sescon, and the Manila Observatory;

The second set of contributors: Henry Basilio, Vicente Belizario, Red Constantino, Jaime Faustino, Ino Manalo, Dennis Mapa and associates, Eliseo Ponce, Capt. Ben Solis, and Lory Tan;

Colleagues who provided insights and comments during various workshops, notably Nathaniel Bantayan, Dipayan Bhattacharyya, Nicolo del Castillo, Maris Diokno, Steeve Godilano, Jed Gomez, Gil Jacinto, Mahar Lagmay, Mary Racelis, Agnes Rola, and Dan Silvestre;

Members of the HDI Technical Working Group: now-current NSCB Secretary General Jose Ramon Albert, Celia Reyes (Philippine Institute for Development Studies), Dir. Jessamyn Encarnacion (NSCB), Bernadette Balamban (NSCB), Jeff Ducanes (HDN), Toby Monsod (HDN), Anna Jean Casanas (NSCB), Mercy Castro (Deped), Vikki Carr delos Reyes (DOFF, Marjorie Villaver (NSO);

Sharon Piza, who replicated the first set of HDI computations and eventually finalized the 1997-2009 series, building on initial work by Stella Libre, Joseph Joven, EG Andal, and Jeff Ducanes, with assistance from Faith Balisacan and Regina Baroma;

Marina Durano (UPSE), who wrote Part II of this report, "Human Development in Philippine Provinces 1997-2009";

Toby Monsod (UPSE) who wrote Part I of this report, the theme essay, "Geography and Human Development". with helpful contributions from Emmanuel S. de Dios (UPSE);

Sharon Fangonon who served as office supervisor apart from providing excellent research and production assistance to the main authors, including generating some of the maps;

Thanks and acknowledgements are also due to the following: the officers and staff of the Department of Agriculture, Department of Health, the World Health Organization, the Department of Transportation and Communications, and the Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines, who generously accommodated requests for information; Mario Feranil and the PIDS who provided financial management and accounting support; the NSCB Team led by Dir. Jessamyn Encarnacion who organized the well-attended dissemination event last December and again the launch of this volume; the Philippine Center for Economic Development, which provided additional facilities and research support; and the United Nations Development Program, thru Toshihiro Tanaka and Corazon Urquico, which again provided unqualified support and understanding to the HDN notwithstanding the delays which plagued this round of production.

Special thanks go to Yvonne Chua (press editor), Avigail Olarte (proofreader) and Ed Davad (layout artist), who whipped the volume into publication-ready form under an extremely tight schedule.

Finally the HDN Executive Committee wishes to express its special thanks and appreciation to Toby Monsod, the general editor of this volume, who aside from taking on the daunting intellectual task of writing the theme essay, also tirelessly organized the logistical, personnel, and financial requirements of producing this Report up to its publication. This Report would have been impossible without her efforts and dedication.

#### HDN Executive Committee (2012-2014)

Emmanuel S. de Dios, President Ma. Cynthia Rose Banzon-Bautista, Vice-President Fernando T. Aldaba, Secretary Winfred M. Villamil, Treasurer Erlinda M. Capones, Auditor Solita Collas-Monsod (Founding President)

Gelia T. Castillo Karina Constantino-David

Michael L. Tan

Romulo T. Virola

Arsenio M. Balisacan

### **Contents**

List of	f Maps	xví
List of Tables		xvii
List o	f Figures	xviii
List o	f Boxes	xix
List o	f Box Tables	XiX
List o	f Box Figures	XX
Abbre	eviations	XXİ
l:	Geography and human development in the Philippines	1
Мар	)\$	50
ll:	Human development in Philippine provinces: 1997-2009	59
Bibli	iography	93
Tech	nnical notes	101
Stat	istical annexes	109
Map		
1	Local spatial dependence in Human Development Index (2009)	
2	Local spatial dependence in per capita income (2009)	
3	Spatial dependence in income growth (1988-2009)	
4	Local spatial dependence in income growth (1988-2009)	51
5	Malaria cases (2009)	52
6	Schistosomiasis stratification (2005)	
7	Microfilaria endemic provinces (2009)	
8	Cumulative prevalence of STH among children 12-71 months (2004)	52
9	Poverty and disease (2009)	
10	Climate types	54
11	Agro-ecological zones.	
12	Strong Republic Nautical Highway	
13	Level of urbanization across provinces	
14a	Poor or lagging places	
14b	Where poor people are	56

15	Life expectancy index (2009).	57
16	Education index (2009)	
17	Income index (2009)	
18	Human Development Index (2009)	
Tab	les	
1.7	Variation in provincial indicators explained by geography	
1.2	Variation in disease count per province explained	
1.3	Variation in schistosomiasis prevalence explained.	E
1.4	Average rice yields across the Philippines	11
1.5	Farmers/farmer operators by type of activity (2002)	
1.6	llocos Coast vs. Cagayan Valley in 1960	21
1.7	Comparative cost of conventional shipping vs. ro-ro shipping	23
1.8	Tax assignment for provinces, cities, municipalities, barangays	37
1.9	Distribution of IRA and devolved expenditures	41
2.1	Largest gainers and losers in life expectancy index between 1997 and 2009	66
2.2	Top and bottom provinces in life expectancy (1997 and 2009)	66
2.3	Largest gainers and losers in education index between 1997 and 2009	67
2.4	Largest gainers and losers in income index between 1997 and 2009	68
2.5	HDI gainers and losers between 1997 and 2009	71
2.6	HDI top and bottom provinces (1997).	72
2.7	HDI top and bottom provinces (2009).	73
2.8	List of provinces by type of improvement (1997-2009, 1997-2003, 2003-2009)	76
2.9	Largest gainers and losers in GDI between 1997 and 2009	79
2.10	GDI top and bottom provinces (1997)	80
2.11	GDI top and bottom provinces (2009)	81
2.12	Largest gainers and losers in equally distributed life expectancy index between 1997 and 2009	83
2.13	Largest gainers and losers in equally distributed education index between 1997 and 2009	
2.14	Largest gainers and losers in equally distributed income index between 1997 and 2009	
2.15	Top 10 provinces with the largest losses in HDI due to inequalities	86
2.16	Top 10 provinces with the least losses in HDI due to inequalities	
2.17	Top 10 provinces with rank improvements in the presence of inequalities	
2.18	Top 10 provinces with rank declines in the presence of inequalities	

### Figures

		3
1.1	Linking geography and human development	15
1.2	Allocation of agriculture banner program spending, excluding irrigation (2001-2011)	15
1.3	Self-sufficiency ratios in rice (2001–2011)	
1.4	Sectors with worst poverty received the smallest budget	20
1.5	Simulated decline in poverty incidence under a crop-neutral R&D approach	20
1.6	Local roads and rural non-agricultural income	22
1.7	Impact of reforms on structure and operations of the maritime industry	23
2.1	Relationship between per capita income and HDI (1997-2009)	60
2.2	Polationship between per capita income and non-income HDI	60
2.3	Relationship between per capita income growth and change in HDI (1997-2009)	61
2.4	Relationship between per capita income growth and change in non-income HDI (1997-2009)	B7
2.5	Life expectancy index by province (1997-2009)	65
2.6	Education index by province (1997-2009).	67
2.7	Income index by province (1997–2009)	68
2.8	Human Development Index by province (1997-2009).	69
2.9	Different paths from similar starting points (1997-2009)	70
2.10	HDI rank by province 1997 and 2009	74
2.11	Rank comparisons of HDI and per capita income (2009)	75
2.12	Provinces by type of improvement between 1997 and 2009	75
2.13	HD) rank and GDI rank by province (2009)	77
2.14	Gender Development Index by province (1997-2009)	78
2.15	GDI rank by province 1997 and 2009	82
2.15	12007 7000	83
	Equally distributed education index (1997-2009)	84
2.17	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	85
2.18	HDI and inequality-adjusted HDI (2009)	86
2.19	Provincial ranking by HDI and IHDI (2009)	88
2.20	Provincial ranking by HDI and IHDI (2009)	89
7 71	I nespect in life expectancy, education, and income due to inequalities by province (2005)	

#### Boxes

1.1	Spatial dependence in HDI components	
1.2	A disjoint public health information system	
1.3	Conceptual framework for developing agro-ecological zones	1
1.4	What does DA really look like?	11
1.5	Natural hazard and climate change	16
1.6	Roxas, Mindoro Oriental before and after the ro-ro reforms	2/
1.7	Divide-by-N in airports	
1.8	APECO	28
1.9	Decongesting Metro Manila: Integrating Mega Manila	
1,10		
1.11	Toward a more robust, inclusive, resilient Philippine agriculture	
1.12		
2.1	The Gender Inequality Index and its application in the Philippines	
2.2	The Inequality-adjusted Human Development Index	
Вох	Tables	
1	Moran's indices for HDI components and poverty incidence	
2	Average annual MOOE per type of airport (2008-2011)	25
3	Devolved health functions	38
1.	Devolved agricultural functions	

### **Box Figures**

1	Life expectancy (2009): Observed home values vs. spatially weighted average of neighbors	5
2	Mean years of schooling (2008): Observed home values vs. spatially weighted average of neighbors	5
3	Per capita income: Observed home values vs. spatially weighted average of neighbors	5
4	HDI (2009): Observed home values vs. spatially weighted average of neighbors	5
5	Poverty incidence (2009); Observed home values vs. spatially weighted average of neighbors	5
6	Assignment of health functions and health information links	9
7	AEZ framework	12
8	Published DA organizational chart	14
9	Monetary cost of damages due to natural hazard induced disasters (1985-2010)	17
10	Monetary losses as a percentage of national government expenditures and GDP	17
11	Number of people affected by natural hazard induced disasters (1985-2011)	18
12	Casualties from natural hazard induced disasters (1985-2011)	18
13	Number of people affected by typhoons and number of incidents (1985-2011)	19
14	Airport Clusters	26
15	Performance of freeports, BOI and PEZA (1996-2012)	28
16	Metro Manila, Central Luzon, and Calabarzon population estimates	34

### **Abbreviations**

ABRP Agriculture Bureaucracy Restructuring Plan

-42

ADB Asian Development Bank AEZ Agro-ecological zone

AFMA Agricultural and Fisheries Modernization Act
AFMP Agricultural and Fisheries Modernization Plan

AIP Annual Investment Plan
A.O. Administrative Order

APECO Aurora Pacific Economic Zone and Freeport Authority

APIS Annual Poverty Income Survey

ARMM Autonomous Region in Muslim Mindanao ASEAN Association of Southeast Asian Nations ASEZA Aurora Special Economic Zone Authority

AusAID Australian Agency for International Development

BAS Bureau of Agricultural Statistics

BHS Barangay health station BOI Board of Investments B.P. Batas Pambansa

CAAP Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines

CAR Cordillera Administrative Region

Comelec Commission on Elections
DA Department of Agriculture

DALY Disability life years

DBM Department of Budget and Management

DENR Department of Environment and Natural Resources

DepEd Department of Education

DILG Department of Interior and Local Government

DOF Department of Finance
DOH Department of Health

DOTC Department of Transportation and Communications

DPWH Department of Public Works and Highways

E.O. Executive Order

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization
FBT Foodborne trematode infection

FIES Family Income and Expenditure Survey

GAA General Appropriations Act GDD Growing Degree Days

GDI Gender-related Development Index

GDP Gross Domestic Product
GII Gender Inequality Index
GNP Gross National Product
HDI Human Development Index
HDN Human Development Network
HDR Human Development Report

HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HPI Human Poverty Index HUC Highly urbanized city

IHDI Inequality-adjusted Human Development Index

IPA Investment promotion agencies
IRA Internal Revenue Allotment

IRR Implementing rules and regulations

JMC Joint Memorandum Circular

LFS Labor Force Survey
LGC Local Government Code
LGU Local government unit
LSA Local spatial autocorrelation
MDA Mass drug administration
MDG Millennium Development Goal

MIGEDC Metro Iloilo-Guimaras Economic Development Council

MO Manila Observatory

MOOE Maintenance and other operating expenses

MPI Multidimensional Poverty Index

NAMRIA National Mapping and Resource Information Authority

NCR National Capital Region

NDHS National Demographic and Health Survey

NEDA-ICC National Economic and Development Authority's Investment Coordination Committee

NGA National Government Agency

NRRDMC National Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Council

NSCB National Statistical Coordination Board

NSO National Statistics Office NTD Neglected tropical diseases

PAGASA Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical, and Astronomical Services Administration

PCI Per capita income

PDP Provincial development plan

PDPFP Provincial Development and Physical Framework Plan

PEF Peace and Equity Foundation
PEZA Philippine Economic Zone Authority
PHDR Philippine Human Development Report
PhilMIS Philippine Malaria Information System
PIDS Philippine Institute for Development Studies

PLPEM Provincial/Local Planning and Expenditure Management Guidelines

PPA Philippine Ports Authority

PPFP Provincial physical framework plan

PSU Primary sampling unit

PSY Philippine Statistical Yearbook

R.A. Republic Act
RHU Rural health unit
Ro-ro Roll-on, roll-off
RPT Real property tax

SAFDZ Strategic Agriculture and Fisheries Development Zone

SEZ Special economic zone

STH Soil-transmitted helminth infection
UNDP United Nations Development Programme
UNDP HDRO UNDP Human Development Report Office
UPSE University of the Philippines School of Economics

WB World Bank

WHO World Health Organization



